

# WARDS AFFECTED All Wards

Resources and Equal Opportunities Scrutiny Committee Cabinet

16<sup>th</sup> September 2004 27<sup>th</sup> September 2004

#### **CORPORATE GOVERNANCE: ANNUAL REPORT FOR 2003/4**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR OF RESOURCES, ACCESS AND DIVERSITY

## 1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

The purpose of this report is to enable compliance with the requirements of the Council's Corporate Governance Code by carrying out an Annual Review of Corporate Governance arrangements for the year 2003/4, and to enable the Council's Leader and Chief Executive to sign a Corporate Assurance Statement.

### 2. **SUMMARY**

An Annual Review of Corporate Governance arrangements has been carried out in consultation with lead officers responsible for all Key Policies and Procedures which form the Council's Corporate Governance Framework. The outcome is summarised in **Appendix 1**.

#### 3. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

**Resources and Equal Opportunities Scrutiny Committee** is asked to review the current position as summarised in this Annual Report and to forward any comments to Cabinet for consideration.

#### Cabinet is recommended to:

- i) Review the current position as summarised in this Annual report, together with any comments received from Resources and Equal Opportunities Scrutiny Committee, and
- ii) Authorise the Service Director (Legal Services) to finalise a form of Corporate Assurance Statement to be signed by the Council's Leader and Chief Executive, and to be published on the Council's website.

# 4. HEADLINE FINANCIAL AND LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Covered in the report.

# 5. REPORT AUTHOR

PGN/JC/348

Peter Nicholls, Service Director (Legal Services), x6302



# WARDS AFFECTED All Wards

Resources and Equal Opportunities Scrutiny Committee Cabinet

16<sup>th</sup> September 2004 27<sup>th</sup> September 2004

### **CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

# SUPPORTING INFORMATION

### 1. REPORT

#### **Corporate Governance Code**

In May, 2002, the Council approved and adopted a local Code of Corporate Governance which was seen to be consistent with the principles and reflected the requirements of the "CIPFA / SOLACE Framework, Corporate Governance in Local Government: A Keystone for Community Governance". A copy of the Code is available on the Council's web site.

CIPFA / SOLACE has defined Corporate Governance as "the system by which local authorities direct and control their functions and relate to their communities". The system needs to be able to demonstrate clearly:

- Openness and inclusivity
- Integrity
- Accountability

#### **Annual review**

There has been a need to establish arrangements to review and publish statements on the extent to which the Authority is complying with good practice, and on the operation and effectiveness of its Corporate Governance arrangements.

There is a need for annual consideration of the extent to which the Authority complies with the elements of Corporate Governance set out in the Code. A statement must be published setting out the extent of compliance and proposed actions to address non-compliance. Systems, processes and documentation will need to evidence compliance, and there is a need to identify those responsible for monitoring and reviewing systems, processes and documentation identified.

Lead officers have been appointed for all key policies and procedures, as set out below. They are responsible for satisfying themselves that the policies and procedures work properly in practice and must provide the necessary reports and assurance statements to the Town Clerk to enable the Annual Report to be co-ordinated.

KEY POLICIES AND PROCEDURES	LEAD OFFICER	
Consultation strategy	Assistant Chief Executive	
Performance management framework	Assistant Chief Executive	
Project management	Corporate Director, RAD	
Members' Code of Conduct and Political	Corporate Director, RAD	
Conventions and Members support		
framework		
The Council Constitution	Service Director - Legal Services	
Information Governance	Service Director - Legal Services	
Community plan	Assistant Chief Executive	
Communication strategy	Assistant Chief Executive	
Partnership policies	Assistant Chief Executive	
Effective Human Resource Policies	Service Director - Human Resources and	
	Equalities	
Whistle blowing	Service Director - Human Resources and	
	Equalities	
Code of Conduct (officers)	Service Director - Human Resources and	
	Equalities	
EMAS	Corporate Director of Regeneration and	
Procurement strategy	Chief Finance Officer	
Contract Procedure Rules	Service Director - Legal Services	
Anti-fraud and corruption	Chief Finance Officer	
Risk management strategy	Chief Finance Officer	
Effective administration of financial affairs	Chief Finance Officer	
(Finance Procedure Rules and associated		
guidance)		
Health and safety policy	Service Director - Human Resources and	
	Equalities	

This is the second annual review, the first being for 2002/3.

The Chief Executive was appointed as the officer responsible for signing off an "Annual Assurance Statement", together with the Leader of the Council.

Oversight of the Council's corporate governance arrangements is a function of Cabinet within its terms of reference relating to Finance and Resources.

The District Auditor has commented very positively about the Corporate Governance Framework which has been established, and is likely to use the annual report to inform the District Auditor's programme of work for the authority in 2004/5.

The annual review and Assurance Statements produced will be scrutinised as part of the Comprehensive Performance Assessment process.

### **Complaints to the Ombudsman**

A Monitoring Officer issue which is not specifically identified in the Corporate Governance Framework is the position in respect of Local Government Ombudsman complaints.

A summary of Local Government Ombudsman complaints received from April 1 2003 to 31 March 2004 is attached as Appendix 2 including a comparison with the previous two years 2001/02 & 2002/03.

Two reports of Maladministration causing Injustice have been published by the Ombudsman against the Education & Lifelong Learning Department with a recommendation of compensation and a review of policy. The Education & Lifelong Learning Department is taking appropriate action to ensure that Maladministration is not repeated in the circumstances of these cases.

Twenty-seven complaints were closed as "Local Settlement", i.e. where a complaint does not warrant a full investigation by the Ombudsman or where it is not necessary to bring the matter to the public attention. In such cases the Council can initiate a local settlement by taking action or agreeing to take action which the Ombudsman considers to be satisfactory in the circumstances. This can take the form of compensation or provide some other benefit for that person.

A total of £11,02.91 compensation has been paid out which incorporates £5,771.91 as Local Settlement.

Appendix 3 is a comparison table of Family Authorities for the years 2001/2002, 2002/2003 and 2003/2004.

## 2. FINANCIAL, LEGAL AND OTHER IMPLICATIONS

## i. Financial Implications

Covered in the report.

# ii. Legal Implications

Covered in the report.

## iii. Other Implications

OTHER IMPLICATIONS	YES/NO	Paragraph references Within supporting information
Equal Opportunities	Yes	E.g consultation strategy policy
Policy	Yes	E.g. partnership policy
Sustainable and Environmental	Yes	EMAS policy

Crime and Disorder	Yes	E.g. community strategy
Human Rights Act	Yes	E.g. information governance
Elderly/People on Low Income	Yes	E.g. community strategy

## 3. BACKGROUND PAPERS – LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972

Relevant legislation, national policies, the Council's Corporate Code and Assurance Statement information.

## 4. **CONSULTATIONS**

Martyn Allison, Carol Brass, Laurie Goldberg, Ian McBride, Mark Noble, Charles Poole, Mike Powell, Liz Reid Jones, Johanne Robbins, Ed Smith, Tom Stephenson, Corporate Directors' Board, Adrian Bennett (District Audit).

## 5. **REPORT AUTHOR**

Peter Nicholls, Service Director (Legal Services), x6302

## **CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

PROCESS: Consultation strategy				
LEAD OFFICER: Assistant Chief Executive				
POTENTIAL KEY RISKS:	AREAS ASSURED:	1, 2 & 4		
<ol> <li>The established strategy is not appropriate to the Council's needs.</li> <li>The strategy and resultant policy guidance</li> </ol>		Public consultation research group provides these alongside the consultation toolkit noting that quality management responsibility lies with those doing the		
is not fully implemented by the Council's management and so used to drive up performance.  3. The generation of poor quality information from consultation leads to poor decision making.  4. The strategy is not given the appropriate level of	IMPROVEMENT REQUIRED AND ACTION PLANNED AS REPORTED TO CABINET ON 24.11.03:	consultation.  Quality of consultation and its use - review of strategy and update of toolkit. Improving the use of consultation is through the new management competencies and culture change programme.		
leadership by the political and managerial executive.				

# **CURRENT POSITION:**

The consultation toolkit has been revised and relaunched giving guidance on all aspects of consultation and participation. It is available on the intranet site.

PRO	PROCESS: Performance management framework				
LEAD	LEAD OFFICER: Assistant Chief Executive				
POTEN	ITIAL KEY RISKS:	AREAS ASSURED:	1 & 2		
2.	framework is not appropriate to the Council's needs.	ADEQUACY OF PROCESS:	Processes have been subject to audit and found to be sound.  The new Corporate Plan needs to be embedded in the service planning and budget planning process.		
3.	and managerial executive.	IMPROVEMENT REQUIRED AND ACTION PLANNED AS REPORTED TO CABINET ON 24.11.03:	Implementation - improvement addressed within the Comprehensive Performance Assessment improvement plan. Work also required to ensure the interface with other frameworks.		
4.	• •				
5.	The framework does not interface correctly with other frameworks e.g. the Leicester Partnership				

The performance management framework has been overhauled, approved by SRG and Corporate Directors' Board. This includes an interim review of the service planning framework. The final version of the service planning framework is subject to the pilot currently being undertaken in the learning disabilities service in SC&H. It remains for these changes to be implemented departmentally.

#### **PROCESS: Project management LEAD OFFICER: Corporate Director RAD Potential Key risks** AREAS ASSURED: The framework for effective project management and for addressing The principal risk is that major the associated risks is fully in place. projects are not effectively There is no known risk which has managed, resulting in financial not been addressed. cost, service delivery problems, This area is now fully assured. or legal challenge. The more **ADEQUACY OF** The principal risk is addressed by specific risks are: requiring compliance with corporate PROCESS: "Project Management Standards for 1. New major projects are Major Projects", which are not identified resulting in supported by a training programme adequate project for project directors and managers. management Prince 2 is an acceptable alternative arrangements not being to the standards for appropriate established. projects and managers. 2. Project management standards for those The specific risks have been leading projects are not addressed as follows: adequately defined. Required project 1. Corporate Directors' annual management standards Assurance Statement. are not complied with in 2. The Corporate Director of significant respects. C&NR ensures the provision 4. Professional support to of and monitors training. those leading projects 3. Internal Audit reviewed. (particularly financial and 4. Each Department has a legal) is not sufficient. procedure to identify major 5. Those leading projects projects. are not sufficiently 5. The Chief Finance Officer skilled and Service Director (Legal) to notify me of any apparent lack of professional support or significant failure to observe the corporate standards. 6. Internal Audit will include an element of compliance testing in their annual audit programme. The Audit Commission was asked to review compliance with the

corporate standards for the three highest risk projects and a sample of other projects. Their findings and recommendations were considered by SRG in June, 2003.

IMPRO	OVEMENT	Improv	rements are required to
REQU	IRED AS	addres	s:
REPOI	RTED TO	1.	Required improvements in
CABIN	NET ON		the corporate standards.
24.11	.03	2.	Whether a more substantial
			in-house project assurance
			and support function is
			required.
		3.	How to improve
			continuously the standard
			of the project management
			and compliance with the
			corporate standards
			(current levels of non-
			compliance not being
			acceptable).
		4.	How the Council's input to
			joint working with external
			agencies should be project
			managed.
			ave set up a task group to
		advise	on these improvements

Improvements have been made since the last report:

 The Council (via SRG) has adopted PRINCE 2 as its project management methodology, in place of its locally developed standards. This single step, will address virtually all of the remaining areas requiring assurance, as set out in the Audit Commission's 2003 audit.

which address the Audit

Commission's recommendations. Their deadline is 31<sup>st</sup> October.

- The PRINCE 2 is being "localised" to the Council's decision-making arrangements. This will ensure that a familiar and internationally recognised system, with readily available training, can be used flexibly for any size and type of project, according to local circumstances.
- A sample internal audit showed significantly greater compliance with project management standards, with no recommendation for improvement being required.
- Internal Audit has built into their annual programme for testing of project management arrangements.

PROCESS: Members' Code of Conduct / Political				
Conventions and Members' support framework				
LEAD OFFICER: Corporate Di	rector RAD			
POTENTIAL KEY RISKS:	AREAS ASSURED:	All areas		
Members not sufficiently trained to enable them to make informed decisions (including specific training for Development)		A training and development programme for Members and necessary monitoring systems are in place.		
Control Sub-Committee).  2. Executive Members taking individual decisions not in accordance with the Constitutional arrangements (leading to potential		Further to the CPA report: a Member development programme has been fully documented and approved, to be readily accessible to all Members; and regular communication mechanisms are in place and felt to be of benefit to Members.		
maladministration).  3. Members running into difficulty by way of conduct not in accordance with the Code (through lack of knowledge or		The Standards Committee has taken on the role of being an Audit Committee for standards covering areas including Members' Code of Conduct / Political Conventions, register of Members' interests, training and complaints against Members.		
appreciation).  4. Members unable to carry out their duties, including constituency work, in an effective manner leading to undue personal stress, due to lack of support or lack of knowledge as to how to obtain support on surgery work.	24.11.03:	Further briefing and training required relating to liquor licensing.  The Constitution is in need of a review, especially given the new administration.		
<ol> <li>Members violate the provisions of the Members' Allowance Scheme.</li> </ol>				

Training and briefing of Members' on the new liquor licensing provisions has taken place. A Members Development Forum is currently being established to provide Member direction to the overall training and development of Members.

The Constitution and the Political Conventions are regularly reviewed and updated as required. This was recently done for the Constitution and Conventions updates are about to be brought forward. Both remain fundamentally sound.

PROCESS: The Council's Constitution			
LEAD OFFICER: Service Director - Legal Services			
POTENTIAL KEY RISKS:	AREAS ASSURED:	Assurance can be given in all areas	
<ol> <li>Failure to ensure the</li> </ol>		subject to the following	
Constitution complies		improvement required.	
with legal requirements.	ADEQUACY OF	The Constitution has been reviewed	
2. Failure to ensure that the	PROCESS:	and updated a number of times to	
Constitution reflects the		meet corporate requirements; the	
current administration's		current edition is available on the	
needs.		internet and in hard copy format to	
3. Failure to ensure the		a restricted number of users.	
Constitution is			
communicated and		Training has been provided to	
available for Members		Members and officers.	
and officers.			
<ol><li>Failure by officers/</li></ol>		The Constitution is kept under	
Members to comply with		review by the Procedures Working	
the Constitution's		Party, formerly the Organisation	
requirements leading to		Working Party.	
illegality or	IMPROVEMENT	There is a need to review the	
maladministration.	REQUIRED AS	current Constitution to ensure that	
	REPORTED TO	it meets the new administration's	
	CABINET ON	requirements, and following this	
	24.11.03:	there will be a need for further	
		publication and training for officers	
		and members.	

The Constitution has been reviewed and changes have been authorised by full Council. A revision has been published on the internet/intranet and is soon to be published in hard copy format. Further training for officers and members is being programmed for the year. This will be informed by the Members' Development Forum.

PRO	PROCESS: Information governance			
LEAD	LEAD OFFICER: Service Director - Legal Services			
1.	Legislative non- compliance with the associated penalties.	AREAS ASSURED:	of DPA by the Team,	nce can be given in respect , FOIA functions etc handled ICT Contracts and Security but assurance cannot be
2.	Information becomes corrupt and incorrect decisions are made.	ADEQUACY OF	respons	n respect of departmental sibilities.  departmental confirmation
3.	Information is not available when it is	PROCESS:	of com	pliance or otherwise.
4.	needed. The policy is not followed.	IMPROVEMENT REQUIRED AS REPORTED TO	1.	Lack of standard proforma - under consultation. Implement 2004.
5.	Staff are inadequately trained and/or are not aware of their responsibilities.	CABINET ON 24.11.03:	2.	Lack of information retention and deletion policy - under consultation. Implement 2004.
6.	The policy is not given the appropriate level of leadership by the political and managerial executive.		3.	Departmental ownership. SRG report 220703 agreed new areas of responsibility. Implementation timetable being agreed.
7.	Professional support is insufficient.		4.	5 5
			5.	
			6.	

A Freedom of Information Act project is underway to ensure full compliance with the Act in accordance with the statutory timetable. The project is currently on target for the full go live date of 01 January 2005. An in-depth awareness raising and training programme is scheduled for the final quarter of 2004.

In respect of Data Protection Act and Freedom of Information Act requirements, audits are planned and programmed for completion by the end of July. The audits for the Data Protection Act 1998 and the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000 have been delayed because of the work programme for the Freedom of Information Act. It is expected that these will be completed by the end of October 2004.

Freedom of Information Act requirements are being audited in an on-going fashion as part of the implementation and preparedness programme. A final gap analysis audit is scheduled for the end of October 2004 with any corrective work needed to

follow in November and December 2004. This should ensure the Authority is prepared for the full implementation of he Act on 1<sup>st</sup> January 2005.

- 1. Proformas and the supporting procedures have been implemented for the Data Protection Act, the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act and the associated Business Practice Regulations. Those for the Freedom of Information Act are being produced as part of the implementation plan and are targeted for completion for 01 January 2005
- 2. Consultation with departments is complete. The policy needs final endorsement by SRG and rolling out to departments. Expected to go live by end of 2004.
- 3. The time timetable has been agreed and fully implemented.
- 4. RAD DMT has deferred making a decision on the bid for the current financial year. It wants to consider the CSG funding as part of the full Information Management agenda, the requirements of which are still not 100% clear. Temporary financing has been agreed for 2004-05. It is expected the full Information Management review will take place in 2005-06.
- 5. A draft policy has been produced, but its implementation has been put on hold until the Information Management Strategy has been agreed see 6.
- 6. Work has been impacted by the work needed for the implementation of the Freedom of Information Act 2000. A draft strategy has been produced and is under consultation. Various component parts of the strategy, for example the Retention and Disposal Policy are nearing completion.

PROCESS: Community plan					
	LEAD OFFICER: Assistant Chief Executive				
POTENTIAL KEY RISKS:  1. Failure to meet Community Plan Action Plan targets.	AREAS ASSURED:	Recent annual report for 2002/3: 73% of targets achieved. Targets not achieved include PSA targets which is significant.			
2. Some of these targets are the PSA targets so there are financial risks of non-achievement i.e. the loss of performance reward grant in 2005/6.  3. Change of priorities by partners.	ADEQUACY OF PROCESS:	Action plan targets are monitored annually and reported to the Leicester Partnership in June/July. Some of the targets are outwith the control or responsibility of the City Council. 51% of the targets were met in year 2003/4 although data is not available until October for a further 18%. Targets which are the responsibility of the City Council are monitored in the same way other performance indicators are managed, i.e. through Corporate Directors' Board and on to Members. PSA targets are also audited by the District Auditor and progress reporting is carried out annually with lead officers. Checks are undertaken through the monitoring and reporting process. Evidence is through departmental returns on performance indicator data and through the auditing process.			
	IMPROVEMENT REQUIRED AS REPORTED TO CABINET ON 24.11.03:	Annual cycle of reporting.  Improvements to be identified in a report to Corporate Directors' Board on 2 <sup>nd</sup> September, Leicester Partnership on the 4 <sup>th</sup> September.			

A new community strategy is being developed and will be launched in April 2005.

PROCESS: Communications strategy			
LEAD OFFICER: Assistant Chief Executive			
POTENTIAL KEY RISKS: AREAS ASSURED: Project is on target			
The proposed communications	ADEQUACY OF	Project is underway	
strategy is not delivered within	thin PROCESS:		
the April 2004 target.	IMPROVEMENT	The Head of Communications is	
	REQUIRED AS	currently developing a	
	REPORTED TO	communications strategy as part of	
	CABINET ON	a major communications and	
	24.11.03:	marketing improvement project.	

At the time of writing this report the consultation on the communications strategy is about to be launched.

PROCESS: Partnership Policies			
LEAD OFFICER: Assistant Chief Executive			
POTENTIAL KEY RISKS:	AREAS ASSURED:	Based on the information below,	
<ol> <li>Failure to work as an</li> </ol>		assurance cannot be given.	
effective partner.	ADEQUACY OF	The Council has produced	
<ol><li>Failure to fulfil the</li></ol>	PROCESS:	partnership guidelines which have	
Council's community		been the subject of external audit.	
leadership role.		In a report dealing with Local Public	
3. Failure to sufficiently		Service Agreements, July 2003, the	
safeguard the Council's		District Auditor has criticised the	
legal, financial and other		Council for not applying its	
interests as a member of		partnership guidelines and	
any partnership.		recommends that effective steps	
		are taken to ensure that they are.	
	IMPROVEMENT	Ensure that the current guidelines	
	REQUIRED AS	are sufficiently communicated and	
	REPORTED TO	applied, and review the guidelines	
	CABINET ON	to ensure that they suit temporary	
	24.11.03:	needs.	

### **CURRENT POSITION:**

The Chief Executive is currently undertaking a project on partnership working. The results of this will be available in the autumn.

PROCESS: Effective H	uman Resourc	es Policy
LEAD OFFICER: Service Director - Human Resources &		
Equalities		
POTENTIAL KEY RISKS:  1. Failure to establish an effective HR policy to suit current operational needs.  2. Non-compliance.	AREAS ASSURED:  ADEQUACY OF PROCESS:	Assurance can be given based on information below.  During the year, and following consultation with the Council's departments, a Human Resources Strategy was introduced which determines the nature and direction of human resource activity in the
		organisation. This was agreed by Cabinet.  In the light of this, a programme of work was set out which required named individuals to take a policy matter or area of activity of the Council, review it and introduce a
		new or revised policy as required, subject to approval in the normal way. The programme is regularly reviewed and priority is given to areas of concern or which are affected by legislation.
	IMPROVEMENT	None identified.
	REQUIRED AS REPORTED TO	
	CABINET ON	
	24.11.03:	

A 2003/4 HR work plan has been signed off by SRG and a 2004/5 work plan has been agreed by SRG on May  $6^{\text{th},}$  2004.

PROCESS: Whistle blowing			
LEAD OFFICER: Service Director - Human Resources and			
Equalities			
POTENTIAL KEY RISKS:  1. Failure to ensure that the policy complies with the law and current operational requirements.	AREAS ASSURED:  ADEQUACY OF PROCESS:	This will depend on the outcome of a recent DA audit.  A whistle blowing procedure has existed for some time and the Council's employees were advised of it on all December 1000 by	
2. Failure to ensure that the policy is communicated and implemented.		of it on 8 <sup>th</sup> December, 1999 by personal copy. They were reminded of it on 13 <sup>th</sup> November 2002. In the absence of any criticism or concern it was considered that the procedure was adequate for its purpose and experience shows that a range of calls are received through the procedure by the Audit Investigations Team. A questionnaire has recently been completed on behalf of District Audit, setting out the Council's policy in this matter and the response of the District Auditor to this and the replies of other Councils is awaited before further action is contemplated. The policy and procedure can be viewed on the Intranet.	
	IMPROVEMENT REQUIRED AS REPORTED TO CABINET ON	There is a need for regular review of the policy and improvements may be required depending on the outcome of the audit.	
	24.11.03:		

The DA has issued the report and there is an action plan in place. One of the key recommendations in the action plan is to review the policy. A new policy has now been agreed with the Council's Trade Union and is being implemented across Council Departments.

PROCESS: Code of Conduct (officers)			
LEAD OFFICER: Service Director - Human Resources and			
Equalities			
POTENTIAL KEY RISKS:	AREAS ASSURED:	Assurance given.	
<ol> <li>Failure to ensure that the Code of Conduct reflects legal requirements or current operational needs.</li> <li>Failure to ensure the Code of Conduct is communicated or complied with.</li> </ol>	ADEQUACY OF PROCESS:	An extensive Code of Conduct has existed for some time in the Council and the Council's employees were advised of it on 8 <sup>th</sup> December, 1999 by personal copy. They were reminded of it on 13 <sup>th</sup> November, 2002. The Code has been found to work well and there has been no criticism that its provisions are not apparent to employees or that there is concern over interpretation. A national code has been anticipated for some 2-3 years, but is not yet published. When this is to hand the local code will be reviewed and amended when necessary. It is not considered appropriate to undertake work in this area pending receipt of the national version which will, of necessity, require work to be undertaken.	
	IMPROVEMENT REQUIRED AS	There is a need for regular review, especially following publication of a	
	REPORTED TO	new national code.	
	CABINET ON 24.11.03:		

The Authority's existing policy is currently under review and a draft proposal is due by March, 2005.

#### **PROCESS: EMAS**

#### **LEAD OFFICER: Corporate Director of Regeneration and Culture**

#### **POTENTIAL KEY RISKS:**

Failure to maintain EMAS registration by not being able to close out non-conformities raised by the external EMAS verifier in 2003.

#### AREAS ASSURED:

There were no non-conformities raised during the June 2003 verification process, but improvement notes were issued in the areas below:

#### 6.3.01

Improvement is required to ensure that LCC can demonstrate conformance to its Environmental Policy Commitment to Prevention of Pollution.

#### 6.3.02

Interface arrangements within LCC between landlord and tenant require improvement to ensure that roles, responsibilities and authorities are defined, documented and communicated in order to facilitate effective environmental management. As communications form an essential element in this process, improvement to existing procedures are required to ensure that effective communication exists between various functions of LCC.

#### 6.3.03

Improvement is required in conforming to the LCC environmental policy commitment to legal compliance and periodic evaluation of compliance with all relevant environmental legislation.

An interim visit was carried out by the external verifiers in November 2003. Satisfactory progress was recorded.

EMAS is audited through a three year internal audit programme which is available from both internal audit in RAD and the environment team in Regeneration & Culture Dept. In addition it is externally audited by external verifiers currently Lloyds Register Quality Assurance (LRQA).

Corporate Directors received a progress report on clearing improvement notes, in October 2003. A further report was presented to Corporate Directors' Board on 30<sup>th</sup> April 2004.

POTENTIAL KEY RISKS: Failure to maintain EMAS registration by not being able to close out any non-conformities raised by the external EMAS verifier in April 2004 verification.	AREAS ASSURED:	There were no non-conformities raised during the April 2004 verification process and the authority was recommended for reregistration.  One new improvement note was raised relating to landlord – tenant interfaces.
		One of the previous improvement notes was discharged, relating to compliance with environmental legislation.
	ADEQUACY OF PROCESS:	EMAS continues to be audited through a three year internal audit programme which is resourced from both internal audit in RAD and the environment team in Regeneration & Culture Dept. In addition it is externally audited by external verifiers currently Lloyds Register Quality Assurance (LRQA).
		The Sustainable City Officers Group continues to meet every 6 weeks. This group provides mechanism for communicating and controlling EMAS between departments.

The current position has been incorporated into the above by Carol Brass.

PROCESS: Procurement strategy			
LEAD OFFICER: Chief Finance Officer			
ADEQUACY OF PROCESS: ADEQUACY OF PROCESS:	The Corporate Procurement Team maintains a database of contracts entered into by the Council as a whole.  Testing work was carried out by the Corporate Procurement Team, which identified significant levels of purchasing outside of standard regulated contracts. Some of this will be for valid reasons.  As part of the work improving corporate procurement, attention will be given to reviewing the number of people who can buy and achieving greater use of corporate contracts.  In the meantime, it is not possible to give assurance that the procurement strategy is being complied with.  See next page  Corporate consideration of procurement is a new activity for the Council, which previously granted considerable departmental freedom. The new arrangements		
	are taking time to bed in. An audit report in 2003 identified some important successes but with some way to go.		
	ADEQUACY OF PROCESS: ADEQUACY OF		

Г	I
IMPROVEMENT	The Auditor's report identified some
REQUIRED AS	less successful areas which have all
REPORTED TO	been included in the current
CABINET ON	Improvement Plan, particularly in
24.11.03:	relation to organisational barriers and certain elements of the Procurement Plan. The Council will need to monitor the new plan rigorously to ensure that
	improvements are delivered and weaknesses addressed.
	Consideration ought to be given to
	proceeding with compliance
	auditing.

Routine reporting of "off contract" purchasing takes place to an interdepartmental officer group, and a programme of training is taking place. In this way the profile of this issue is being maintained. It is believed that substantial improvements can be made by reducing the number of people who buy and introducing greater standardisation.

Compliance monitoring will also be commenced.

PROCESS: Contract Procedure Rules			
LEAD OFFICER: Service Director - Legal Services			
_	ITIAL KEY RISKS:	AREAS ASSURED:	Compliance can be assured in
1.	. and o to onother or its		respect of contracts handled by
	comply with the law and		Legal Services, but assurance
	current organisational needs.		cannot be given in respect of
2			contracts handled and managed
2.	Insufficient awareness /	4 DE 01 4 0 V 0 E	within departments.
	access by officers /	ADEQUACY OF	CPRs are regularly reviewed e.g.
_	members.	PROCESS:	2002, and can be accessed via the
3.	Failure to comply leading		Intranet and on hard copy.
	to financial losses, breach		Review is in consultation with users
	of law.		e.g. Corporate Procurement Group.
4.	Failure by departments to		Training has been provided.
	comply with		Legal Services has a specialist team
	departmental		dealing with contract work.
	responsibilities under the		This has a good relationship with
_	rules.		the corporate Procurement and
5.	Failure by departments to		Business Team, which now
	use legal services where		monitors EC procurement.
,	required.		All contracts referred to Legal
0.	Failure by decision		Services identify the necessary
	makers, whether Cabinet	INADDOVENACNIT	authority.
	or officers, to take into	IMPROVEMENT	CPRs are due for a review, updated
	account legal implications	REQUIRED AS	and simplified.
	when considering whether to enter into a	REPORTED TO	A further training programme is
	contract.	CABINET ON	required.
	contract.	24.11.03:	Further audit work is required to
			ensure compliance within
1			departments.
			Measures designed to ensure legal
1			input into decision making by
			Cabinet need to be closely
			monitored.

A full review of Contract Procedure Rules is underway, led by the Corporate Procurement Group with input from Legal Services. Specific attention is currently being given to CPR's relating to the procurement of professional services.

Legal input into decision making by Cabinet is being closely monitored.

PROCESS: Anti-fraud and corruption				
LEAD OFFICER: Chief Finance Officer				
POTENTIAL KEY RISKS: Failure to identify and tackle fraud and corruption.	AREAS ASSURED:	Reasonable assurance can be given as to the operation of the Council in addressing fraud and corruption.		
	ADEQUACY OF PROCESS:	The Council has adopted an updated anti-fraud and corruption policy and strategy, which identifies the roles and responsibilities of members, Directors, employees and Internal audit for dealing with the prevention, detection, deterrence and prosecution of fraud and corruption affecting the Council's activities.		
		New prosecution and investigation policies have also been adopted and the Council received a clean assessment as a result of an inspection by the Office of the Surveillance Commissioner.  A plethora of financial controls exist		
		to prevent fraud.		
	IMPROVEMENT REQUIRED AS REPORTED TO CABINET ON	Ongoing review and risk assessment to build into future audit plans.		
	24.11.03:			

The Council has been focussing its attention on developing fraud awareness training and developing joint working initiatives with the Department of Works and Pensions.

The External Auditor has also completed a review of the Council's approach to the Public Interest Disclosure Act and recommended a review be conducted. This will take place during 2004/5.

Other developments relating to fraud include the introduction of positive vetting for the holders of key risk posts, and revising and updating user policies for the Internet and E mail systems.

In that regard reasonable assurance can be given as to the operation of the Council in addressing fraud and corruption. However no assurances can be given in relation to compliance with the anti-fraud and corruption policy and strategy although this is not a significant issue.

PROCESS: Risk management strategy			
<b>LEAD OFFICER: Chief</b>	Finance Office	er	
POTENTIAL KEY RISKS: Failure to develop and implement an effective strategy.	AREAS ASSURED:	Given the fairly limited progress made it is not possible to give formal assurance with regard to risk management.	
	ADEQUACY OF PROCESS:	The Council adopted a revised corporate risk management strategy during this year. The policy includes a standard framework for the identification, assessment and documentation of key strategic and operational risks.  All departments are now aiming to	
		complete their risk registers by the end of September, which has taken longer than originally planned.	
	IMPROVEMENT REQUIRED AS REPORTED TO CABINET ON 24.11.03:	Future development work is now planned to complete the process by the end of 2003/4 using the pilot methodology and to establish processes for the risk manager to satisfy herself that once identified, key risks are controlled and documented in accordance with the risk management strategy.	
		This will include development of risk registers and risk profiling within each department and development of subsequent monitoring arrangements to measure effectiveness of risk management.	

All departments have now completed Risk Profiling Workshops and the LACHS 2003 Risk Register has been purchased and standardised to capture and manage the results.

A Corporate risk management process has been introduced and guidance provided.

Audits on security, fire, safety and cyber liability have been carried out with the support of our insurance brokers.

The Risk Manager is able to provide limited assurance with regard to the management risk based on the work identified above.

The development and introduction of mechanisms for monitoring the effectiveness of Risk Management is included in the Business Plan for Risk management Services in 2004-5.

PROCESS: Effective administration of financial affairs			
LEAD OFFICER: Chief Financial Officer			
POTENTIAL KEY RISKS  1. Incorrect monies paid out.  2. Sums due not received.  3. Inadequate keeping of financial records.	AREAS ASSURED:	Reasonable assurance on the effectiveness of the system of financial control can be derived from the Internal Audit work on the main financial systems in 2002/3, and from the operation of the considerable number of existing controls.  In most cases, systems are operating soundly, but some weaknesses needing attention are a common finding in this (and any) organisation. Processes exist (including the role of committee) to ensure that recommendations to resolve weaknesses are	
	ADEQUACY OF PROCESS:	followed up.  Significant existing effort is geared towards ensuring the regularity of financial transactions.	
	IMPROVEMENT REQUIRED AS REPORTED TO CABINET ON 24.11.03:	Further development work will take place on the submission of routine standard assurances from departmental Heads of Finance with regard to day to day operation of financial systems.	

Reasonable assurance on the effectiveness of the system of financial control can be derived from the Internal Audit work on the main financial systems in 2003-4. In most cases, systems are operating soundly, but some weaknesses needing attention are a common finding in this (and any) organisation. Processes exist (including the role of your committee) to ensure that recommendations to resolve weaknesses are followed up.

The absence of some statements from Heads of Finance means that assurances cannot be given in relation to all areas managed directly within departments.

PROCESS: Health and Safety				
LEAD OFFICER: Service Director - Human Resources and				
Equalities				
POTENTIAL KEY RISKS Non-compliance with statutory and Council policy and standards.	AREAS ASSURED:	The Council has a corporate Health and Safety Action Plan which is subject to regular monitoring, review and evaluation. In addition each department is required to have their own departmental H&S Action Plan. This again is subject to regular monitoring, review and evaluation.		
	ADEQUACY OF PROCESS:	A framework is in place, subject to regular monitoring and review. This has helped to identify necessary improvements. Improvements to asbestos management are underway, along with strengthening of the corporate capacity through the recruitment of a new Head of Health and Safety.		
		Current formal 6 months monitoring is being reviewed with a proposal to conduct formal corporate 12 months review and evaluation with each department. The corporate capacity of Health and Safety is current being strengthened following Best Value review of the Service.		
	IMPROVEMENT REQUIRED AS REPORTED TO CABINET ON 24.11.03:	Action Plans have helped to identify particular areas for improvement.  This includes actions as necessary following a Corporate audit of our Asbestos Action Plan.		
		Corporate Capacity is being strengthened through the recruitment of a new Head of Health and Safety.		

An annual health and safety report is to be presented to SRG on June 25<sup>th</sup>, 2004.

An audit of the Council's revised Asbestos Action Plan is currently being carried out.

Short listing for a new Head of Health and Safety will take place on May  $18^{\text{th}}$ , with interviews on May  $25^{\text{th}}$ .

APPENDIX 2
LOCAL GOVERNMENT OMBUDSMAN COMPLAINTS

COMPLAINTS RECEIVED			
	01/02	02/03	3/4
Complaints received	103	117	143
Complaints closed	94	98*	138
Complaints closed – less premature	67	76**	100
Complaints open at year end 31 March 2004	9	19	5

<sup>\*</sup>Subject to confirmation against figures to be supplied by the Local Government Ombudsman

<sup>\*\*</sup>Premature complaints – the LGO gives us the opportunity to put the complaint through our 3 Stage complaint procedure. The LGO no longer include premature complaints in their published statistics for local authorities. Premature complaints have been included in these results for ease of comparison against previous years.

	01/02	02/03	3/4
Cultural Services & Neighbourhood Renewal	1(1%)	4 (4%)	1(1%)
Chief Executive	1 (1%)	0	0
Environment Regeneration & Development	25 (26%)	21 (21%)	22(16%)
Education & Lifelong Learning	5 (5%)	5 (5%)	10(7%)
Housing	54 (60%)	52 (60%)	90(65%)
Resources Access & Diversity	5 (5%)	5 (5%)	4(3%)
Social Care & Health	3 (3%)	11(11%)	11 (8%)
TOTAL	94	98	138

LOCAL GOVERNMENT OMBUDSMAN COMPLAINTS  BREAKDOWN OF OUTCOMES							
	01/02	02/03	03/04				
No Maladministration	36(38%)	44(45%)	50(36%)				
Local Settlement	15(16%)	11(11%)	27(20%)				
Outside Jurisdiction	11(12%)	17(17%)	11(8%)				
Ombudsman's Discretion*	5(5%)	4(4%)	10(7%)				
Premature	27(29%)	22(23%)	38(28%)				
Discontinued/Withdrawn	0	0	0				
Maladministration found	0	0	2(1%)				
Total	85	98	138				

<sup>\*</sup> complaints described as Ombudsman's Discretion are those which have been terminated for reasons other than that there was no evidence of Maladministration or that the complaint was locally settled. For example a complaint might be terminated because the complainant wishes to withdraw his/her complaint.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT OMBUDSMAN COMPLAINT OUTCOMES BY DEPARTMENT								
2003/2004								
	NM	LS	OJ	OD	МІ	Р	W	TOTAL
Cultural Services & Neighbourhood Renewal	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Chief Executive's Office	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Education & Lifelong Learning	5	3	0	0	2	0	0	10
Environment Regeneration & Development	12	0	1	2	0	7	0	22
Housing	31	24	6	6	0	23	0	90
Social Care & Health	1	0	0	2	0	8	0	11
Resources Access & Diversity	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4

- NM No Maladministration
- LS Local settlement
- OJ Outside Jurisdiction
- OD Ombudsman Discretion
- MI Maladministration & Injustice
- P Premature (opportunity to put the complainant through our 3-stage complaint procedure **NOT** recorded in the Ombudsman's year end figures.

**APPENDIX 3** 

# **Complaints – Findings of Maladministration Comparison Table of Family Authorities**

Authority	1/2		02/03		3/4		
	Findings of Maladministration	Total No. of complaints	Findings of Maladministration	Total No. of complaints	Findings of Maladministration	Total No. of complaints	
Leicester	0	67	0	76			
Birmingham	0	410	0	368	Figures not	Figures not	
Blackburn with Darwin	0	17	1	31	released by the	released by the LGO until July 2004	
Bolton	0	37	0	57	LGO until July		
Bradford	1	90	2	131	2004		
Bristol	2*	79	0	65			
Coventry	0	41	0	46			
Derby	0	39	0	44			
Dudley	0	54	2	45			
Kingston-upon-Hull	0	81	0	81			
Nottingham	3	91	0	41			
Plymouth	0	88	4	118			
Portsmouth	1	34	1	51			
Southampton	0	38	0	34			
Wolverhampton	0	54	0	37			

These figures do not include complaints which are 'premature'. That is complaints which the authority has not had an opportunity to deal with.

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates a figure which includes more than one complaint subject to the same report.